Q.1	Asked by: Councillor Cecilia O'Lone
Tabled Question	"Can the relevant Convenor provide an update how Glasgow plans to reduce alcohol-related deaths despite the CHCP budget crisis?"
Responder	Councillor Allan Casey, Convener for Workforce and Homelessness and Addiction Services

Thank you for this question, it's only a shame time doesn't permit me to do justice the breadth and depth of programmes available in the city seeking to address alcohol misuse verbally, but I will include fuller information in the written response.

The Alcohol and Drug Recovery Services (ADRS) provide alcohol treatment and care to people across Glasgow City, with a comprehensive range of services in addition to two inpatient facilities in Stobhill and Gartnavel hospitals delivering intensive treatment for those who are at highest risk of alcohol-related harms and death.

Glasgow City ADP received significant investment from the Scottish Government to implement the Medication Assisted Treatment Standards, and whilst this funding was directed towards drug use, the funding has supported Glasgow ADRS to develop an Access team to engage with treatment and care and improve outcomes.

Other interventions include A Crisis Outreach Team, introduced to the ADRS suite of services in 2021; a Primary Care Alcohol Nurse Service, which was developed to address the needs of people with problem alcohol use who were in contact with their GP but had not previously engaged well with ADRS - and a range of alcohol specific programmes in recognition of the need to address alcohol-related harms and deaths, such as includes the introduction of fibroscanners within ADRS, to detect liver disease at an early stage and direct to specialist treatment via acute services.

This is of course in addition to the huge range of supports Glasgow City HSCP has in place: so both the ADP and HSCP will continue to monitor and review programmes regularly in terms of performance and delivery in meeting the national and local strategies aimed at reducing alcohol-related harms and deaths.

Q.2	Asked by: Councillor Eunis Jassemi
Tabled Question	“To ask the Leader of the Council is she agrees with the Former First Minister Humza Yousaf that the Bute House Agreement was “worth its weight in gold?”
Responder	Councillor Susan Aitken, Leader of the Council

Yes, I do. For the period it was in place it cemented mature partnership working across party boundaries on a shared progressive platform at the heart of Holyrood.

I personally was disappointed that it came to an end. But people have to make decisions for the political context and particular circumstances they are in – and obviously our context here in Glasgow is different from the one at Holyrood.

But absolutely, Bute House laid the groundwork for really important policies. And even if it’s on a much less formal basis, I hope that constructive joint working to progress those can continue for the good of Scotland.

Here in Glasgow, I’m very pleased that the SNP and the Greens have a working agreement based on what’s best for the city, our institutions and the people we were collectively elected to represent. I believe that our commitment to work to find common ground across party boundaries has been constructive and productive and provides a great example to other elected bodies of grown-up partnership politics.

Q.3	Asked by: Bailie Soryia Siddique
Tabled Question	“To ask the relevant Convenor about the specific measures Glasgow City Council has taken to address the degradation of Glasgow’s built heritage, particularly those buildings situated in Bridge Street which have become a danger due to the lack of maintenance?”
Responder	Councillor Kenny McLean, City Convener for Economy, Housing, Transport and Regeneration

Disrepair and neglect of historic assets is a significant issue across the City and the Council seeks to facilitate good maintenance and positive new uses - wherever possible.

We can’t ignore the fact that the overwhelming majority of buildings requiring maintenance are in private ownership. Not the Council’s. We do take action through Repairs Notices. But with all actions there are legal complexities and cost implications. If an owner refuses to take to carry out necessary works then the Council would have to. And cost recovery can take many years.

Compulsory Purchase Orders are even more complex and can take many years through the Courts whilst also requiring the Council to ensure that there is a viable and fully-funded third party that the property can be passed to.

Absentee and neglectful property owners and the limits of our powers to address this remains a source of considerable frustration and cost.

On the specific issue of Bridge Street, New Gorbals Housing Association is currently refreshing its masterplan for the area. There has already been significant input from Council Officers and going forward it’s expected we’ll gather the relevant information for the Bridge St Block in question to allow the repair and conversion of the vacant buildings and sites. The masterplan is due to be finalised by winter.

Furthermore, the Built Heritage Commission will be presented to committee within weeks. The Commission will steer and support the enhancement of these heritage benefits, focusing on finding solutions to the complex challenges facing our Historic Environment and providing a forum to champion the Heritage agenda in all its forms.

Finally, between 2013 to 2023, the Council invested two hundred and twenty million pounds in its own historic properties, addressing vacant and neglected historic assets and finding future uses. The Council also provides ongoing support to two organisations who operate to celebrate, repair, and redevelop historic assets - the Glasgow City Heritage Trust and Glasgow Building Preservation Trust.

Q.4	Asked by: Councillor Fiona Higgins
Tabled Question	Can the relevant Convenor provide an update on the progress of the proposed works at the Ruchill Community Centre, Possilpoint Family and Community Centre and the Milton Family Community Centre?
Responder	Councillor Ruairi Kelly, City Convener for Neighbourhood Services and Assets

Ruchill Community Centre
Officers from the GL and NRS property teams have undertaken an analysis of the investment works required to re-open the facility and have concluded that the costs currently exceed the available budget by some considerable margin. Our investigations to date have only dealt with immediately apparent issues and have identified works required to the roof and dealing with related asbestos issues, replacement boilers and some ceiling and lighting works.
More detailed survey work is being commissioned to understand the exact condition of the building and this will be compared to the desktop information we already have. It is anticipated that the building will continue to have investment requirements more than the available budget. Procurement of appropriate surveyors by GCC is being discussed to progress the full survey therefore, we do not, at this point, have a timescale for completion.

Possilpoint Family and Community Centre
Council will be aware that some time ago, a capital contribution of up to £1.4m was approved at committee as part of a funding package that would lead to the construction of a new Community Hub by the Possilpoint People's Trust. Regrettably, when the plans for the new building were sent out for tender, the tenders were returned more than the available budget and the plans for the new centre were unable to be progressed. Subsequently, the Trust – along with their development partner hub West – prepared an alternative scheme which would see a substantial refurbishment of the existing building that would be affordable within the parameters of the previously secured funding. Permissions are now required to be sought from the funders to change the project from a new-build to a refurbishment. It is the intention of the Council to reallocate the £1.4 million to this new project and confirmation is being sought on whether this requires committee approval or can go ahead under delegated authority.

Milton Family Community Centre
This project seeks to establish a replacement facility for the current Scaraway Nursery and a new facility for North United Communities (NUC) to operate from. The project budget is made up of a contribution from the Education Capital Programme (for the nursery) and RCGF for the NUC portion of the building. The budget for this project was set pre-covid, and recently returned tenders have been subject to the significant inflationary rises that many construction projects have experienced because of covid and other world events. As a result, the current tender exceeds the budget. Colleagues in NRS Project Management & Design, along with colleagues in City Building Glasgow are working to bring the project back into line with the available budget.

Q.5	Asked by: Councillor Martha Wardrop
Tabled Question	“To ask the Leader of the Council when she intends to meet with the new First Minister and what matters will she raise as priorities for Glasgow?”
Responder	Councillor Susan Aitken, Leader of the Council

First of all, I want to put on the record my congratulations to John Swinney on becoming the First Minister of Scotland and how much I am looking forward to working with him. I’m very keen to meet with him in a fomal capacity as soon as possible and our respective offices will be looking for a date suitable to both parties as soon as that can be arranged.

Colleagues won’t be surprised to know that I have a fair number of asks of the First Minister, but to focus on a top three or four: firstly, I’m delighted to hear him reiterate, perhaps even more strongly than his predecessors, his personal commitment to eradicating child poverty. Addressing child and family poverty is of course one of our missions in the Council’s Strategic Plan and is also now the single shared priority around which our community planning partners are united. I want to discuss how best to collectively drive forward those ambitions and expand on game-changing national policies like the Scottish Child Payment by ensuring the equally transformational work we do in Glasgow is funded and supported.

I obviously want to talk about local government funding, both in general and also specifically for Glasgow as the city at the heart of Scotland’s Metro region. I’ve argued many times that the particular challenges and additional costs of serving a dense urban and metropolitan population – whether it’s on housing or culture or roads maintenance or just about any area you care to name - need to be acknowledged and compensated for in the same way that remote and rural areas have long been when it comes to funding allocations. I also want to emphasise the need for local authorities to be able to invest resources in the ways that we determine best suit our local circumstances. Alongside this, I want to make sure that the work to progress the Glasgow City Region as an empowered Metropolitan area continues at pace.

And also at the top of any agenda will be the Climate Emergency, making the offer to the First Minister that Glasgow is part of the solution to the challenges that are impeding the delivery of national targets, and making the case for a more targeted approach to frontloading national funding for climate interventions towards areas like Glasgow that will not only deliver the biggest bang for our buck when it comes to emissions reductions, but that also have the ability to multiply that public sector contribution potentially many times over by leveraging in the institutional and private sector investment that we need to deliver climate action at the necessary pace and scale.

Q.6	Asked by: Bailie Anthony Carroll
Tabled Question	“To ask how the City Treasurer can support the Citizens Advice Scotland, who has raised concerns that proposals to charge advice agencies who provide free immigration advice fees, which will create a significant financial burden on local CABs already struggling with demand and have a negative impact on the recruitment and retention of advisors?”
Responder	Councillor Ricky Bell, Deputy Leader and City Treasurer and Convenor for Financial Inclusion

I thank Baillie Carrol for raising this with Full Council: as if we needed more examples of the pernicious nature of the UK Government’s hostile environment towards migrants: a policy which let’s not forget began under so-called ‘progressive’ Labour Home Secretaries like David Blunkett or Alan Johnston.

As members will be aware Glasgow City Council currently fund 16 organisations within the Glasgow Advice & Information Network (GAIN) to provide free, independent advice to our citizens. Of the 16 providers within GAIN there are 8 Citizens Advice Bureaux and 4 Independent Law Centres who are currently providing immigration advice ranging from Level 1 to Level 3. GAIN practicing Law Centres Solicitors are not required to register with OISC and therefore believe that this change would not apply to the Glasgow Law Centres.

So, although no additional costs to the remaining CAB advice sector are welcomed it is highly unlikely that the costs within Glasgow would affect the recruitment and retention of staff at this stage however our advice agencies are concerned that other Government Departments follow suit.

Council Officers have consulted with GAIN, and confirm that Glasgow Bureaux have all confirmed that they have already replied to the consultation to state their concerns that if fees were to start being applied by government organisations for the provision of advice that this added cost to the organisation could have a detrimental effect on the provision of advice and that the fee waiver for not for profit organisations should remain in place.

Q.7	Asked by: Bailie Leodhas Massie
Tabled Question	“To ask when a paper will be brought to CAC to explore the financial relief that Glasgow could offer Palestinians in Gaza by way of Medical Aid for Palestine?”
Responder	Councillor Greg Hepburn, Business Manager and Convener for Open Government

There a limitations on who the council can provide funding to in these sitations as we are not allowed to provide direct aid to communities abroad.

That said, in the past we have been able to provide donations to wider organisations that are hosting specific funds. For example, in May 2022 the Council agreed a payment of £20k to DEC for Ukraine.

Officers have checked to see if DEC are running a similar appeal in relation to Gaza but they have not, to date, launched national appeal.

They have however, provided a list of member charities who do have appeals and officers are considering whether any of these organsisations meet the criteria for us to donate to.

Officers are also looking at a potential alternative of the British Red Cross as an umbrella organisation we might be able to provide funding too.

A paper outlining these considerations further, with a recommendation of where to provide a donation, will come to CAC before recess.

Q.8	Asked by: Councillor Malcolm Mitchell
Tabled Question	“To ask the Leader of the Council will she join me in welcoming the Clash at the Castle on 15th June at the Hydro, the first event of its kind to come to Scotland?”
Responder	Councillor Susan Aitken, Leader of the Council

Thank you for the question Councillor Mitchell. And while I don’t know my Stone Cold Steve Austin from my Rowdy Roddy Piper or my Macho Man Randy Savage, I do appreciate that the ‘Clash At The Castle’ is a really big deal for Glasgow and for wrestling fans across these islands.

Indeed, it’s really quite novel to welcome an event trailed by one of its key protagonists with the unforgettable line: “If you make me go to Glasgow, I’m gonna be hiding in a bowl of haggis and I’m gonna pop out and break your face.”

Maybe not my thing but the massive interest would tell us the appeal is undeniable.

So, as I understand, this is the first WWE Premium Live event staged in Scotland, one of the first in the UK and sees us join Toronto, Berlin and Perth, Australia, in staging the two-day event.

Viewed in around 180 countries, I’m reliably informed this is a massive deal for the wrestling fraternity and there’s no doubt two nights at the Hydro will be quite the economic boon for Glasgow. And it will be interesting to see what the economic impact of those two nights will be.

We do always want to see our sporting and cultural events competitively priced. Unfortunately, venues have very little say on ticket prices and the public understandably can raise issues around affordability.

But WWE again points to our standing and the sheer hard work which goes into ensuring events remain crucial to our economic and reputational successes.

Q.9	Asked by: Councillor Blair Anderson
Tabled Question	“To ask the City Treasurer whether he thinks non-domestic rates relief for Jordanhill School is good value for money given the financial context faced by the Council’s Education Service?”
Responder	Councillor Ricky Bell, Deputy Leader and City Treasurer and Convenor for Financial Inclusion

No, I don’t believe it to be good value for money, and for the avoidance of doubt, clear the Council doesn’t provide any NDR relief to Jordanhill School.

By way of background, From 1 April 2022 Independent Schools have no longer been eligible for NDR charitable relief.

The regulations refer to definitions of independent schools in 135(1) of the Education (Scotland) Act 1980 where the definition is ‘*not being a public school or a grant-aided school’.*

Jordanhill is directly funded by the Scottish Government and comes under the definition of a grant-aided school. Jordanhill School is also a registered charity with OSCR.

It has been awarded 80% mandatory charitable relief however no discretionary charitable relief has been awarded.

Due the pooling arrangements for Non-Domestic Rates there is no direct cost to the council of this award of mandatory relief, the cost is to the national pool, and there would be no direct benefit to the council if this relief were not awarded. Discretionary relief, if awarded, would incur a small cost to the council.

The council is applying NDR legislation and has no discretion in this award of mandatory relief.

The award of 80% mandatory relief for 2024/25 is £209,066, leaving a balance payable of £52,266, which is being paid by direct debit.

Q.10	Asked by: Councillor Martha Wardrop
Tabled Question	"The Scottish Law Commission has published discussion papers on tenement law reform. Professor Frankie McCarthy, the lead Commissioner, stated: "The statistics on the state of disrepair of Scotland's tenement housing stock are jarring. Those statistics underpin the recommendations of the Working Group on Tenement Maintenance, one of which was to establish owners' associations in all of Scotland's tenement buildings." Is the relevant City Convenor aware if the Council will submit comments on the questions raised by this discussion paper before 1 August 2024?"
Responder	Councillor Kenny McLean, City Convener for Economy, Housing, Transport and Regeneration

From my conversations with Officers from Glasgow City Council NRS Housing Services, I know that they will attend a planned webinar being held by the Scottish Law Commission and will be responding to the consultation in due course.
From these conversations, it is clear that there will be a number of questions to be answered regarding the feasibility and practicalities of such a scheme, even if it has laudable aims.

I would like to have a better understanding of the difficulties that residents may face in setting up these groups, along with a better understanding of the improvements they may anticipate from doing so: and of course if is always the case for Local Authorities, who will be responsible for enforcing this legislation.

There is also the inevitable question of timescales: from my correspondence with officers, I understand that The Scottish Law Commission are to report back with their findings in 2025 or 26. And then, depending on how radical their proposals are and the impact this will have on issues like property law or human rights, there could be a long lead in time for implementation.

Q.11	Asked by: Councillor Robert Mooney
Tabled Question	"Age Scotland have said that over 400,000 elderly people in Scotland are being left behind due to lack of technological skills, and in particular as public services move more and more to online services, elderly people are being left behind. Can the relevant Convenor confirm what steps GCC are taking to make sure that all council services are accessible for our elderly citizens."
Responder	Councillor Cunningham, City Convener for Health, Care and Caring and Older People

Can I thank Councillor Mooney for his question.

I would agree entirely that digital exclusion is an issue for many, although, as someone who can only see his 70th birthday through a rear view mirror, I would urge caution about making sweeping generalisations about age categories.

The digital world is indeed challenging for many older people and this is despite the prevalence of smart phones. The fact is that the possession of one does not automatically translate into digital inclusion, although it does suggest a degree of comfort with the online world.

A quick check on Google, and I'm not being ironic here, shows that while 96% of 16 to 24 year-olds in the UK own a smartphone, that figure drops to 69% of over-65s. That's still an impressive number, and I suspect that it is lower in Glasgow, but it does confirm that older people are less likely to be able to deal with services on line than their grand children.

So I agree with Councillor Mooney that ensuring older, digitally excluded citizens are not, by default, excluded from our services is important.

Both the Council and the Health and Social Care Partnership (HSCP) recognise the many benefits possible through digital service delivery and through digital signposting. However, we are well aware of the issue of digital exclusion within our City. We get that, and we understand it.

We can broadly describe our work under three headings:

- Expanding online access for older people who currently have no access.
- Developing confidence in using the internet for older people who do have access.
- Offering non-online alternatives to digital information and services.

I could comment in detail on all of these points, although that would almost certainly take up all of the allotted time available to answer this question and I'm sure that Councillor Mooney will have a supplementary he will wish to pursue. I will be happy to pass on all of the detail of that as a written follow up but I might make one or two further comments.

Confidence in the use of digital IT is obviously important. In February this year the 'Helpful Hints with Home Technology' service was established to provide digital support in a friendly, informal and accessible way.

The support service is regularly delivered by the HSCP through libraries and third sector organisations, offering advice and training on devices, including smart phones and tablets, as well as information on how to access equipment and apps that can support health and care such as medication prompts and how to stay connected to friends, families and carers.

But of course, for some the digital world will remain terra incognito and for those older people the Council and the HSCP, notice boards in health centres and in wider Council properties are still routinely used to increase awareness of what is available.

For specific service requests or to be correctly signposted, Health and Social Care Connect, the Council's single point of access for HSCP services, is contactable by telephone where workers can advise service users of what would be available to them. Once receiving a service, service users will generally be able to contact their worker by phone directly.

It remains the case that for older peoples services, more paper communications are produced in order to limit the impact of the widespread digitisation of information on those service users without regular internet access.

We are living in a period of transition, where on line is replacing paper. Almost by definition, that transition is an imperfect one, but the Council and the HSCP are doing what we can to make it easier for our older citizens to deal with it.

Q.12	Asked by: Councillor Seonad Hoy
Tabled Question	“To ask the relevant convenor what discussions have taken place between the Education Service and parents, teachers and young people at the Glasgow Gaelic School regarding music tuition provision?”
Responder	Councillor Christina Cannon, City Convener for Education and Early Years

The Head of Service and Quality Improvement Officer for instrumental music have met twice formally with parents. In addition, there has been regular e-mail and telephone communication with relevant stakeholders.

The Quality Improvement Officer for CREATE, and her team have been working alongside the GME schools to review their provision and where requested, offer support. CREATE is not a generic model: it is a very forward thinking and creative service where outcomes, experiences and opportunities for children and young people are well considered and supported, taking into account the context of each Secondary School.

Within the funding and resources available, it is designed in partnership with the Faculty Head of Music from the Secondary School as that is where the staffing is allocated: therefore GME schools cannot be allocated additional funding. We have 30 Secondary Schools to which tuition is allocated on a fair and equitable basis.

In this context, Officers have done what they can to ensure that the Gaelic school can have a generous offering: Education Services have been very clear with parents / carers, that if they want their children to have additional paid for lessons these would be arranged outwith the school day as with all GCC establishments.
We have suggested that parents set up a Saturday music school or after school provision if they wish their children to study additional instruments, and we have offered to support venues, and assist with this.

Q.13	Asked by: Councillor Kevin Lalley
Tabled Question	“To ask the relevant Convenor how the decision to reduce CCTV monitoring from 24 hours a day to 12 hours a day affects the safety of citizens?”
Responder	Councillor Ruairi Kelly, City Convener for Neighbourhood Services and Assets

The change to CCTV monitoring, unanimously agreed as part of the 2023 budget process, has been in operation since September 2023 and there have been no reported instances or evidence of it impacting the safety of citizens.

Q.14	Asked by: Councillor John Daly
Tabled Question	“To ask the City Convener for Education what meetings she’s had with parents and carers to prepare them for the loss of hundreds of Glasgow’s teachers?”
Responder	Councillor Christina Cannon, City Convener for Education and Early Years

Thank you for the question, Councillor Daly. Along with the Convener for Workforce Planning and City Treasurer, I met last month with the chair of the Glasgow City Parents’ Group following their request for a meeting. It was a constructive meeting, during which we had the opportunity to discuss the financial position facing the Council and where the GCPG shared the concerns from what had been emerging in discussions with parent councils.

At an officer level, the GCPG Chair meets regularly with the Quality Improvement Officer with lead responsibility for Parental engagement. I understand that further meetings between GCPG and senior members of the education directorate planned for the near future.

Two members of the Glasgow City Parents Group are also members of the Education Skills and Early Years committee and regularly attend. All correspondence between the Executive Director and the Chair of Glasgow City Parents Group is responded to promptly. And any reasonable request to meet will meet with a favourable response.

Councillor Daly will be aware of the creation of the Political Oversight Group which is examining all options detailed in the Council’s budget, including teacher numbers. He will also be aware of the position already taken by the Political Oversight Group that all options presented should have already gone through meaningful consultation with relevant stakeholders so as to achieve the best possible outcome for the people of Glasgow.

What I will add though is that we fully understand the concerns of parents, teachers, staff and young people given the impact of these unprecedented pressures. And given that fact, the very least that can expect is honesty from all parties about the financial context we are operating in, the plans we have all put forward to deal with that and how the resulting decisions have been taken. As every member of this Chamber knows, right now Glasgow faces a budget gap over the next three years in excess of £100million. Unless something significant changes in the short term, that is an immense and inescapable pressure. And this authority provides a multitude of lifeline and frontline services to hundreds of thousands of people which need resourced. We must be honest with all citizens about that. And in our meetings with parents and carers this administration is honest.

Q.15	Asked by: Councillor Robert Mooney
Tabled Question	“To ask the relevant Convenor how Glasgow City Council ensures that all blue badge holders, particularly those who are blind or partially sighted, are treated equitably in the renewal process?”
Responder	Councillor Cunningham, City Convener for Health, Care and Caring and Older People

The Blue Badge scheme is for people with severe mobility problems which makes them unable or virtually unable to walk or those who are registered blind. It allows Blue Badge holders to park in restricted areas. For example, if you have a blue badge you can park free and for any length of time at on-street pay and display areas.

Blue badges can be issued to adults, children or to organisations providing care. They can be used for badge holders who are drivers or passengers in any vehicle. It is illegal for other people such as friends or relatives to use the badge when the badge holder is not travelling with them.

A Blue Badge is valid for three years and the cost of a Blue Badge is £20.

Blue Badge applications have two levels of screening applied, dependent on if the application meets automatic criteria set nationally or will require discretionary decision making.

Where evidence of relevant benefits or registered blind (severely sight impaired) is provided, the application will progress without further assessment. Where someone does not provide evidence of this, then the badge application will be treated as discretionary and subject to further assessment.

For discretionary applications, further information from the application and other systems is scrutinised for evidence of the criteria

“Virtually unable to walk over 50m”. This is concluded either by a desk-based assessment or if required, further scrutiny by a qualified OT, to ensure equitable assessment and provision.

Renewal is not automatic and applications are required every 3 years, unless a temporary badge has been provided in the first instance (usually for a condition that isn’t expected to be permanent but is at least 6 months in length) which might make the reapplication period shorter.

In recent months it was agreed that should the information remain the same and the administration team are confident that the application or condition is of same circumstance to the original approved application, then it can be renewed without further OT scrutiny. If there is any doubt on the information provided and it requires further scrutiny, this will be passed to OT team leads for decision.

Q.16	Asked by: Bailie Patricia Ferguson
Tabled Question	“To ask the relevant Convener what discussions have taken place with Traffic Cameras Scotland regarding their decision to switch off speed cameras on roads in Glasgow?”
Responder	Councillor Angus Millar, City Convener for Climate, Glasgow Green Deal, Transport and City Centre Recovery

As part of the Safety Camera review process, several safety camera sites in Glasgow have been identified that no longer meet the criteria for enforcement. The Police have confirmed that the cameras have served their purpose and reduced speed related casualties at the locations. Ideally, these cameras could be left in place to deter motorists from speeding, however, strict guidelines issued by the Scottish Government, mean that cameras can only be situated where speed related collisions are evident.

The sites where cameras are being made dormant will be carefully monitored over a three-year period and a full impact assessment will take place before the cameras are finally removed. The benefits of the review are that new, more up to date cameras can be deployed at other sites where there is greater need and potential to reduce collisions.

Although this may appear to be detrimental to road safety, it should be viewed in a more positive light, as it is an indication that speed related collision have reduced significantly across the city. The introduction of the new mandatory 20mph limit will also enhance and help reduce the number and severity of speed related collisions city-wide.

I am pleased to advise that 4 new camera sites in Glasgow are currently being deployed, and a new average speed camera for Great Western Road is awaiting funding approval for 2024/25.

New Camera sites 2023/4:

- Atlas Rd / Keppochhill Rd / Springburn Rd, Springburn
- South Street, Scotstoun, Glasgow
- B762 Barrhead Road, Westbound, near Boydstone Road
- Nelson Street / Tradeston Street, Glasgow

Full liaison takes place at a local level with the Police and NRS Officers throughout the process of agreeing new sites and removing older sites which no longer meet the safety camera guidelines.