



Item 5(a)

30th April 2025

Glasgow Community Planning Partnership

Drumchapel/Anniesland Area Partnership

Report by Head of Policy and Corporate Governance

Contact: Judith Hunter Telephone: 07557170155

Neighbourhood Infrastructure Improvement Fund (NIIF) – Community engagement update

Purpose of Report:

To provide the Area Partnership with a list of ideas for funding from the NIIF that have been submitted by community organisations in the ward and options for taking those forward.

Recommendations:

The Area Partnership is asked to:

- (1) note the current position; and
- (2) The Area Partnership is asked to consider the ideas attached, in conjunction with the ideas that have already been costed or approved (see Item 5a) and agree how it wants to proceed based on the options at paragraph 6.

Introduction.

1. The purpose of this paper is to bring ideas for spending NIIF, that have been generated by communities, to the Area Partnership for consideration. Those ideas that the Area Partnership wishes to go forward to the next stage will be assessed and costed by Neighbourhoods, Regeneration and Sustainability (NRS) and brought to a future meeting when the Area Partnership will be asked to allocate funding.

Next stages of NIIF.

2. Community representatives have been gathering ideas for costing, using a template based on the detail that NRS need. When read alongside Item 5(a) from NRS, the Area Partnership is now able to see these ideas alongside any ideas that have already been costed or costed and approved. The ideas appended to this paper have come from:
 - local community councils (including from some who don't sit on the Area Partnership, but whose CC boundary fits into the ward);
 - other community organisations;
 - Local Place Plans;
 - Liveable Neighbourhoods;
3. The attached is a collated list of all the ideas that have been gathered so far for this ward. The Area Partnership now needs to decide on the next steps, bearing in mind that the larger the number of ideas to be costed, the longer the process will take, which is why we recommend each Area Partnership asks for no more than about 12-15 ideas from across the whole ward to be sent for costing from this point on. However, the Area Partnership could keep a reserve list of ideas if any are rejected by NRS for technical or legal reasons.
4. The Area Partnership will also want to be sure that they have a good spread of ideas across the ward, and the list shows where they come from by community council area or neighbourhood. One option would be for the Area Partnership to agree how much is to be allocated to each Community Council area or neighbourhood, so that 'protects' a fair level of investment across the ward, but this would be for the Area Partnership to decide.
5. If the ideas list at the April 2025 meeting is fairly short and covers the ward well, the Area Partnership can agree to submit those ideas for costing. However, if the list is still quite lengthy and the Area Partnership is unable to shortlist it at the April meeting, it could be followed up with an informal meeting to prioritise ideas to get the list to a manageable size in advance of the next meeting in September. NRS have a new process to ensure new ideas are collated and submitted when the responsible NRS officer is in attendance to submit these internally in NRS and highlight any potential issues or further information required. The ideas agreed at this session would therefore be confirmed at the next round of meetings in September so they could be progressed to being costed. We would aim to hold all these special meetings/workshops before the summer recess.

6. The Area Partnership also needs to consider how they make decisions about what to fund, and choose from one of the options below:
 - *Wait until all the ideas have come in costed and then have a ward wide vote?* If so, we can have the voting survey in sections, so that people vote for their favourite ideas in each local area. This would be good practice to engage the wider community in voting for the various options, however it would mean a delay until all the costings come in.
 - *As you go?* Costings will become available at different Area Partnerships over the coming months. At each Area Partnership, in theory, costed projects could be agreed on an ongoing basis without further engagement or voting, as this is how some of the Area Partnerships have been allocating so far. However, the Area Partnership would need to be mindful of making sure that communities who have submitted ideas know that their ideas are being considered equally, if their local ideas don't get costed as quickly as others. One way to resolve this would be to agree an allocation per community council area or neighbourhood, so that investment to local areas is protected.
7. Once the Area Partnership has decided, the Communities Team will work with colleagues and partners to move this to the next stage.

Recommendations

8. The Area Partnership is asked to consider the ideas attached, in conjunction with the ideas that have already been costed or approved (see Item 5a) and agree how it wants to proceed based on the options at paragraph 6.

Neighbourhood Infrastructure Improvement Fund

Drumchapel Community Council

Proposed options for consideration (derived from Community Survey – 238 responses)

Priority	Location	Proposed Project description	Comments
1	Drumchapel wide only	2 x deployable pole/lamppost mounted CCTV cameras to address hot spots areas of fly tipping and anti-social behaviour throughout the Drumchapel area	Check with NRS re-monitoring systems
2	Linkwood Drive	Purchase and installation of 4 secure benches to provide resting areas along Linkwood Drive due to steep hill and to create landscape areas therein with railings and planters to depict community garden sites for residents of the two high flats.	Contact Wheatley Homes Glasgow regarding maintenance responsibilities and creation of community garden sites
3	Peel Glen Park	Replace/repair pavement around Peel Glen Park, this includes all paths leading between Springside Place and Summerhill Road, to make it safe underfoot when accessing and exiting the Park area.	Costs to be determined by NRS (footways and pathways)
4	Drumchapel Park	Purchase and install 6 picnic benches within the park, three of which should have dedicated space specific to wheelchair users To assist with the proposed development	Ongoing development of masterplan for the park will identify several areas within for redevelopment. Additional costs would include environmental works to create clear

		(DrumHub) of the currently derelict and disused pavilion to create a café/meeting space within Drumchapel Park to attract more users into the park and support the expansion of Drumchapel Cycle Hub.	sightlines throughout the whole park with removal of shrubbery, provision of picnic benches to enable families to enjoy the park for longer periods.
5	Kinfauns Drive/Kilcloy Avenue to Invercanny Drive	Improvement to footpath and lighting as well as removal of shrubbery within lane situated between St Mark's Church and St Clare's Primary School Repairs to full length of footpath which runs from Kinfauns Drive (next to former scout hall) towards Invercanny Drive.	Better access between Kilcloy Avenue and Kinfauns Drive will provide a safer route for pedestrians to the school, church and other local amenities. The footpath is used by local residents to walk from housing in and near to Invercanny Drive to (a) St Clare's Primary and Drumchapel High Schools, and (b) to walk a safer route from Kinfauns Drive towards Linkwood Drive, Shopping Centre and Health Centre.
6	Summerhill Road wasteground (former Summerhill Primary School site)	To develop the derelict site to deter ongoing fly tipping and anti social behaviour as well as create a more pleasant outlook for local residents with activities that meet local needs. Suggestions include creating zones across the site to include a Glasgrow allotments area, a fruit tree orchard, skateboard park and natural play area for younger children which can be accessed by pupils from the three local primary schools nearby (Drummore,	Currently a derelict land site which is unsuitable for housing development due to drainage and mineshaft issues. Need to develop a masterplan for the site and to consult further with local people on future use.

		Camstradden and St Clare's). The site could be levelled in the interim with deployable CCTV cameras in place to tackle serious fly tipping and anti social behaviour.	
Contingency Costs			
	Assessment costs of proposals	As determined from Glasgow Ward NIIF plans across the city each proposal will require detailed assessment by relevant Council Services to determine suitability and actual costs. Such assessments are chargeable therefore a budget of £5,000 should be set aside to cover such costs i.e. footpath assessments are circa £500 each.	Assessment costs require to be charged against the NIIF budget. Any underspend in this budget can be returned to the overall budget for re-allocation to NIIF projects within the Drumchapel area
	Contingency costs	It is determined that approximately 10% of the budget should be set aside to address potential future increases in projects costs due to fluctuating external factors.	Any underspend in this element of the budget can be returned for re-allocation to NIIF projects within the Drumchapel area