Neighbourhood Infrastructure Improvement Fund update noted etc – Request to Executive Director of Neighbourhoods, Regeneration and Sustainability.

7 There was submitted a report by the Executive Director of Neighbourhoods, Regeneration and Sustainability (NRS) providing an update on the Neighbourhood Infrastructure Improvement Fund (NIIF) programme, advising

(1) of the background to the £1m NIIF programme;

(2) that in December 2024, a small team of staff were tasked with reviewing the current process for NIIF and the backlog of requests already received, as well as devising clear guidance and processes for its management going forward;

(3) that a review of information held within Area Partnership minutes, NRS services and across council departments had been carried out to allow a full breakdown of current committed spend, new proposals still to be costed and spend to date to be collated;

(4) that some proposals previously discussed at Partnership meetings had been included in the report but had yet to be progressed to NRS for estimated costs and requested that the Partnership reviewed the information and confirmed that projects had been approved and should now be referred to NRS;

(5) of the criteria for the fund, as detailed in appendix 1 to the report, and that all NIIF proposals must be approved at an Area Partnership meeting before being passed to NRS to be costed;

(6) that NRS Neighbourhood Liaison Managers would attend each meeting to present the report produced by NRS and record all approved new proposals on an agreed template to be used internally by NRS;

(7) that general enquiries but not proposals could be emailed to the NIIF mailbox at NIIFEnquiries@glasgow.gov.uk; and

(8) of the NIIF proposals to date, as detailed in the report.

After consideration, the Partnership

(a) noted the report;

(b) agreed that proposals, as detailed in the report, in respect of (Ref No 04-015), (Ref 04-016) excluding the stairs, (Ref 04-018) noting that the 2nd phase had been quoted at £39,000, (Ref 04-019) with 5% discretion, (Ref 04-020) with 5% discretion, (Ref 04-021) with 5% discretion and consideration of costs of a MUGA, (Ref 04-024) and (Ref 04-025), noting that costings should be for the removal and replacement of railings, projects should be taken forward and costed before the next meeting;

(c) (Ref 04-023), this would be reviewed to check if the project had been completed;

(d) (Ref 04-008) that asbestos work would in included in the overall cost;

(e) confirmed that all CCTV requests under (Ref 04-005, 009, 010, 011), would continue and that all CCTV's should be re-deployable;

(f) (Ref 04-017) the Partnership had not been advised if the work had been carried out;

(g) highlighted that

(i) a meeting had been held with NRS regarding Festive Lighting and as costs would be deducted from NIIF, had expected a report regarding costs for agreed lights in the ward, which Karen Venables would follow up;

(ii) funding had been approved at the previous meeting of £10,000 for litter picking equipment in schools, which had not been included on the report;

(iii) several approved projects had been excluded from the report, which Councillor McSporran had detailed in a report to NRS, which would be re-sent to Karen Venables; and

(h) requested that the word document and format within Councillor McSporran's report be used going forward for this Partnership and other wards.