



Glasgow City Council

Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee

Report by Director of Legal and Administration

Contact: Mairi Millar Ext: 74653

Item 1

21st October 2026

**CALL-IN OF CITY ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE DECISION:
LEGACY FUNDING FOR THE FORMER McVITIE'S FACTORY**

Purpose of Report:

To advise the Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee of the call-in requisition received in respect of Item 2 of the City Administration Committee of 3 September 2026 relating to Legacy funding for the former McVitie's factory.

Recommendations:

The Committee is asked to:-

- (1) Consider the call-in requisition and the response to the grounds of call-in, both of which are attached as appendices; and
- (2) determine whether it agrees with the decision of the City Administration Committee or wishes to refer the matter back with recommendations for alternative action.

Ward No(s):

Citywide: ✓

Local member(s) advised: Yes ☐ No ☐ consulted: Yes ☐ No ☐

1 Background

- 1.1 At its meeting on 3 September 2026, the City Administration Committee considered a [report](#) by the Head of Policy and Corporate Governance relating to allocation of the £1million donated to the Council by Pladis, the owners of the former McVitie's factory on Tollcross Road, Ward 19.
- 1.2 A written requisition has been submitted in terms of standing order 29 of the Council and Committee Standing Orders seeking call-in of that decision. The call-in requisition is attached in full at Appendix 1.
- 1.3 A response to the grounds of call-in has been prepared by the Head of Policy and Corporate Governance and is attached at Appendix 2.

2 Call-in process under the Council and Committee Standing Orders

- 2.1 In terms of the Council and Committee Standing Orders, a member of a Scrutiny Committee who is also a member of the City Administration Committee may not participate in consideration of any decision of the City Administration Committee in which they took part and which has been called in. In those circumstances, the member may appoint a substitute to attend the meeting of the Scrutiny Committee considering the called-in decision. A member of a Scrutiny Committee who is also a signatory to a call-in may speak on the call-in but may not take part in the decision of the Scrutiny Committee.
- 2.2 Only elected members serving on the relevant Scrutiny Committee are entitled to vote, except where a member is substituting for a member of the Scrutiny Committee who is also a member of the City Administration Committee and who took part in the original decision.
- 2.3 The Scrutiny Committee is required to consider the called-in decision in accordance with the Standing Orders. The Committee is not being asked to make the original decision in place of the City Administration Committee. Its role is to scrutinise the decision and consider the grounds of call-in.
- 2.4 Where the Scrutiny Committee agrees, by majority vote, with the decision of the City Administration Committee, the decision may be implemented without further delay.
- 2.5 Where the Scrutiny Committee does not agree, by majority vote, with the decision of the City Administration Committee, the matter must be referred back to the City Administration Committee with recommendations for alternative action, as determined by the Scrutiny Committee. The Chair of the Scrutiny Committee, or another member nominated by the Chair, has the right to attend the City Administration Committee and speak in support of the Scrutiny Committee's recommendation on that item.

- 2.6 Where the City Administration Committee does not accept the recommendation of the Scrutiny Committee on a called-in decision, the decision of the City Administration Committee will be final.

3 Policy and Resource Implications

Resource Implications:

Financial: There are no direct financial implications arising from this report. The financial implications relating to the allocation of the £1million donated to the Council by Pladis are set out in the report to the City Administration Committee.

Legal: There are no direct legal implications arising from this report.

Personnel: There are no direct personnel implications arising from this report.

Procurement: There are no direct personnel implications arising from this report.

Council Strategic Plan: The report supports transparent, accountable and effective decision-making within the Council's governance framework.

Equality and Socio-Economic Impacts:

Does the proposal support the Council's Equality Outcomes 2021-25? Please specify. This report is procedural in nature and does not directly support a specific equality outcome.

What are the potential equality impacts as a result of this report? There are no direct equality impacts arising from this report. Any impacts associated with the underlying decision are set out in the report to the City Administration Committee.

Please highlight if the policy/proposal will help address socio-economic disadvantage. There are no direct socio-economic impacts arising from this report. Any impacts associated with the underlying decision are set out in the report to the City Administration Committee.

Climate Impacts:

Does the proposal support any Climate Plan actions? Please specify:

N/A

What are the potential climate impacts as a result of this proposal?

No direct climate impacts arise from this report. Any impacts associated with the underlying decision are set out in the report to the City Administration Committee.

Will the proposal contribute to Glasgow's net zero carbon target?

N/A

Privacy and Data Protection Impacts:

Are there any potential data protection impacts as a result of this report
Y/N

No direct data protection impacts arise from this report. Any impacts associated with the underlying decision are set out in the report to the City Administration Committee.

4 Recommendations

The Committee is asked to:-

- 4.1 Consider the call-in requisition and the response to the grounds of call-in, both of which are attached as appendices; and
- 4.2 Determine whether it agrees with the decision of the City Administration Committee or wishes to refer the matter back with recommendations for alternative action.