



Glasgow City Council

Strathclyde Pension Fund Committee

Report by Director of Strathclyde Pension Fund

Contact: Jacqueline Gillies, Chief Investment Officer, Ext:  
75186

**Item 5**

27th November 2019

## Fund and Portfolio Summary

### Purpose of Report:

To present a summary of the value and distribution of the Fund's investment assets as at 30<sup>th</sup> September 2019.

### Recommendations:

The Committee is asked to **NOTE** the contents of this report.

Ward No(s):

Citywide:

Local member(s) advised: Yes  No  consulted: Yes  No

### PLEASE NOTE THE FOLLOWING:

Any Ordnance Survey mapping included within this Report is provided by Glasgow City Council under licence from the Ordnance Survey in order to fulfil its public function to make available Council-held public domain information. Persons viewing this mapping should contact Ordnance Survey Copyright for advice where they wish to licence Ordnance Survey mapping/map data for their own use. The OS web site can be found at <http://www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk> "

If accessing this Report via the Internet, please note that any mapping is for illustrative purposes only and is not true to any marked scale

## 1 Total Fund Performance

The Fund's total return for Quarter 3 2019 was **+2.1%**, ahead of the benchmark return of **+1.8%**.

## 2 Total Fund Market Value

The Fund's value at 30<sup>th</sup> September 2019 stood at **£23,183m**. (Fund value at 30<sup>th</sup> June 2019 was **£22,720m**).

At 31<sup>st</sup> October 2019, the Fund's value had decreased to **£23,081m**.

## 3 Portfolio Summary

A summary of allocations to investment managers and portfolios at 30<sup>th</sup> September 2019 is provided in **Schedule 1**.

## 4 Policy and Resource Implications

### Resource Implications:

*Financial:* None

*Legal:* None

*Personnel:* None

*Procurement:* None

**Council Strategic Plan:** Strathclyde Pension Fund aligns with the theme of a well governed city.

### Equality and Socio Economic Impacts:

*Does the proposal support the Council's Equality Outcomes 2017-22* Equalities issues are addressed in the Fund's Responsible Investment strategy, in the scheme rules which are the responsibility of Scottish Government and in the Fund's Communications Policy which has been the subject of an Equalities Impact Assessment.

*What are the potential equality impacts as a result of this report?* No specific equalities impacts.

*Please highlight if the policy/proposal will help address socio economic disadvantage.* ESG (Environmental Social and Governance), and local impacts are addressed in the Fund's Responsible Investment strategy.

### Sustainability Impacts:

*Environmental:* ESG (Environmental Social and Governance), and local impacts are addressed in the Fund's Responsible Investment strategy.

*Social, including opportunities under Article 20 of the European Public Procurement Directive:* ESG (Environmental Social and Governance), and local impacts are addressed in the Fund's Responsible Investment strategy.

*Economic:* ESG (Environmental Social and Governance), and local impacts are addressed in the Fund's Responsible Investment strategy.

**Privacy and Data Protection impacts:** None.

## **5 Recommendations**

The Committee is asked to note the contents of this report.

## Schedule 1

### Fund and Portfolio Summary As at: 30<sup>th</sup> September 2019

	Equity		Hedging & Insurance		Credit		Short Term Enhanced Yield		Long Term Enhanced Yield		Total		Target
	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	%
L&G	6,078	26.2%	354	1.5%	1,335	5.8%					7,767	33.5%	31.5%
Baillie Gifford	1,839	7.9%									1,839	7.9%	7.5%
Lazard	830	3.6%									830	3.6%	2.5%
Oldfield	724	3.1%									724	3.1%	2.5%
Veritas	792	3.4%									792	3.4%	2.5%
Henderson	259	1.1%									259	1.1%	1.0%
JP Morgan	828	3.6%									828	3.6%	3.0%
Genesis	453	2.0%									453	2.0%	1.5%
Pantheon	1,136	4.9%									1,136	4.9%	5.0%
Partners Group	772	3.3%							433	1.9%	1,205	5.2%	6.0%
PIMCO							1,076	4.6%			1,076	4.6%	5.0%
Ruffer							211	0.9%			211	0.9%	1.0%
Barings (multi-credit)							676	2.9%			676	2.9%	2.8%
Oak Hill Advisors							447	1.9%			447	1.9%	1.8%
Barings (private debt)							204	0.9%			204	0.9%	1.3%
Alcentra							191	0.8%			191	0.8%	1.3%
Ashmore							503	2.2%			503	2.2%	2.5%
ICG Longbow							0	0.0%			0	0.0%	1.0%
Unallocated (STEY)							0	0.0%			0	-	1.0%
DTZ									2129	9.2%	2129	9.2%	10.0%
DIP	63	0.3%					91	0.4%	623	2.7%	778	3.4%	4.5%
JP Morgan IIF									510	2.2%	510	2.2%	2.5%
Unallocated (LTEY)									0	0.0%	0	-	2.5%
Cash							628	2.7%			628	2.7%	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>13,773</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>1,335</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>4,026</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>3,695</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>23,183</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Target</b>		<b>52.5%</b>		<b>1.5%</b>		<b>6.0%</b>		<b>20.0%</b>		<b>20.0%</b>		<b>100.0%</b>	