

COMMUNITY COUNCIL BOUNDARIES RECOMMENDATIONS
APPENDIX 2

COMMUNITY COUNCIL/S	WARD/S	REPRESENTATION/S	CONCLUSIONS	RECOMMENDATION/S
(S1) Cathcart & District and Newlands & Auldhouse	1, 2	Amend the boundary between Cathcart & District (West) and Newlands/Auldhouse (East) to better align the geographical boundary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation raised by GCC Officers. Alternative representations made by both CCs with no agreement reached. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change; status quo
(S2) Cathcart & District and Mount Florida	1,7	Old Castle Gardens and Old Castle Gate be moved from the Mount Florida boundary to become part of Cathcart & District.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation raised by Mount Florida CC. Cathcart & District and Simshill & Old Cathcart; no objections received. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement representation
(S3) Pollokshaws & Eastwood and Levern & District	2, 3	Amend the boundary between Pollokshaws & Eastwood (West) and Levern & District (East) to align with Ward boundaries.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation raised by GCC Officers. Representations made by Pollokshaws & Eastwood CC and Levern & District CC are not supportive of the representation. Alternative representations from 1 resident and 1 Elected Member for in part alignment for Boulevard Development to sit within Pollokshaws & Eastwood CC boundary. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change; status quo
(S4) Levern & District and Darnley & South Park Village	3	Amend boundary between Levern & District (south) and Darnley & South Park Village (north) to bring neighbourhood of Parkhouse and area South to City Council boundary within the boundary of Levern & District CC.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation raised by Levern & District CC, Ward 3 Elected Member and local residents. Representation reflects pre-existing boundaries. Darnley & South Park Village currently inactive. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement representation
(S5) Pollok North and Mossspark & Corkerhill	4	Amend boundary between Pollok North (East) and Mossspark & Corkerhill (West) to better align the geographical boundary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation raised by GCC Officers. Closer scrutiny highlights that representation contradicts natural waterway boundary. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change; status quo

COMMUNITY COUNCIL BOUNDARIES RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX 2

COMMUNITY COUNCIL/S	WARD/S	REPRESENTATION/S	CONCLUSIONS	RECOMMENDATION/S
(S6) Mosspark & Corkerhill and Craigton and Dumbreck	4, 6	Include Bellahouston Park within Dumbreck CC boundary or Amend the boundary to make Bellahouston Park a shared area of responsibility for all abutting CCs i.e. Dumbreck, Craigton, Mosspark & Corkerhill.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation raised by Dumbreck CC. Historical representation (2013/14) made before establishment of Craigton CC. Craigton CC object to representations. Understood that Friends of Bellahouston Park now includes representation / involvement from abutting CCs. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change; status quo
(S7) South Cardonald & Crookston and Craigton	4, 6	Amend boundary between South Cardonald & Crookston North East and Craigton South West boundary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation raised by South Cardonald & Crookston CC to amend existing boundary. Both CCs have looked at the demographics of “the triangle” and agree to the representation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement representation
(S8) Dumbreck and Pollokshields	6	Amend the boundary between Dumbreck (North East) and Pollokshields (North West) to better align the geographical boundary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation raised by GCC Officers. No objections 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement representation

COMMUNITY COUNCIL BOUNDARIES RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX 2

COMMUNITY COUNCIL/S	WARD/S	REPRESENTATION/S	CONCLUSIONS	RECOMMENDATION/S
<p>(NW1) Anderston and Blythswood & Broomielaw and Garnethill</p> <p>Dundasvale</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>Amend the boundary between Anderston (East) and Blythswood & Broomielaw (West) to better align the geographical boundary.</p> <p>Dundasvale boundary area is shared between Garnethill and Townhead & Ladywell or alternatively is merged with Garnethill and/or Townhead & Ladywell.</p> <p>Amend the boundary between Garnethill (South) and Blythswood & Broomielaw (B&B) (North) putting Sauchiehall Street completely into Garnethill boundary and expand B&B (North) boundary into Dundasvale to include e.g. Concert Hall; Buchanan Bus Station; Queen Street Station.</p> <p>Garnethill CC proposal to extend its boundary south into B&B CC boundary area (i.e. Bath Street) and east into Dundasvale include e.g. Concert Hall; Buchanan Bus Station; Queen Street Station.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation raised by GCC Officers in relation to B&B CC boundaries with Anderston, and Dundasvale boundary area. • Anderston CC and B&B CC; no objections to proposal which affects their boundaries. • Dundasvale currently inactive. • Townhead & Ladywell CC object to any extension of its boundary into Dundasvale CC area. • 2 resident’s objections to any boundary change for Townhead & Ladywell CC. • B&B CC and Garnethill CC’s proposals with regard to Sauchiehall street boundary and Dundasvale overlap and are broadly similar. There have been no significant discussions or agreement between B&B CC and Garnethill CC to date with regard to their similar proposals. • Garnethill CC had a number of new members appointed following its October 2018 Contested Election. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement representation with regard to Anderston and Blythswood & Broomielaw boundary • No change, status quo with regard to Townhead & Ladywell CC boundary relative to Dundasvale • No change, status quo with regard to existing boundary between B&B CC and Garnethill CC i.e. Sauchiehall Street & • No change, status quo with regard to extending boundaries of either B&B CC and Garnethill CC into Dundasvale at this time <p>NOTE: the 2 recommendations immediately above are based upon (1) Garnethill CC has recently been re-established following a contested election; (2) the need for Garnethill CC new members to be fully inducted on current governance for CCs, before discussions are undertaken; and (3) the absence of any significant discussions/negotiations and/or agreement between B&B and Garnethill CCs in</p>

COMMUNITY COUNCIL BOUNDARIES RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX 2

				relation to their representations.
--	--	--	--	------------------------------------

COMMUNITY COUNCIL/S	WARD/S	REPRESENTATION/S	CONCLUSIONS	RECOMMENDATION/S
(NW2) North Kelvin	11	Amend the boundary between North Kelvin (North West) and Central Maryhill (South) to include additional area within the boundary of North Kelvin Community Council i.e. Garrioch Drive; Garrioch Quadrant; Garrioch Road; and Garrioch Crescent.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation raised by residents and Ward 15 Elected Member. No objections Central Maryhill currently inactive 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement representation
(NW3) Woodside and Woodlands & Park	11	Amend the boundary between Woodside (South East) and Woodlands & Park (North East), to align the boundary to reflect the intuitive boundary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation raised by GCC Officers. Woodside CC support representation; the section of New City Road sits more intuitively within Woodside CC boundary area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement representation
(NW4) Broomhill and Thornwood	12	<p>Amend boundary between Broomhill (South) and Thornwood (North) to relocate Broomhill Gardens within the Broomhill Community Council boundary area.</p> <p>Amend boundary further between Broomhill (South) and Thornwood (North) to relocate south side of Broomhill Drive with associated residences.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representation raised by 15 local residents whose residences (pre 2011 Scheme) sat within the Broomhill CC boundary – no notification was received by the residents to provide them an opportunity to object to the proposal at that time. Broomhill CC and Thornwood CC support resident’s representation. Further representation made by Broomhill CC to extend boundary to include properties along Broomhill Drive South towards Thornwood Roundabout. Thornwood CC object to Broomhill CC’s representation as outlined above. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement residents’ representation No change, status quo with regard to representation relating to further amendment of boundary between Broomhill CC and Thornwood CC

COMMUNITY COUNCIL BOUNDARIES RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX 2

COMMUNITY COUNCIL/S	WARD/S	REPRESENTATION/S	CONCLUSIONS	RECOMMENDATION/S
<p>(NW5) Jordanhill and Whiteinch</p>	<p>12</p>	<p>Amend boundary between Jordanhill CC (South) and Whiteinch CC (North) to relocate Victoria Park within Jordanhill CC boundary</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>Amend the boundary to make Victoria Park a shared area of responsibility for all abutting Community Councils.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation/s raised by Jordanhill CC • 6 residents support representations • Victoria Park currently sits in its entirety within Whiteinch CC boundary area. • Whiteinch CC objects to representations • Friends of Victoria Park objects to representation/s • 8 residents object to representations • Thornwood CC objects to representations • Scotstoun CC objects to representations • Elected Member Ward 12 not supportive of representations • Broomhill CC supportive of status quo 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change; status quo
<p>(NW6) Drumchapel and Blairdardie & Old Drumchapel</p>	<p>14</p>	<p>To realign boundary to include the industrial sites North & West of Dalsetter Avenue to create a boundary at the North side of the railway line.</p> <p>To include the Gt Western Retail Park and all other industrial units and the remaining vacant / derelict parts of the former Goodyear Tyre Factory site to the boundary of Great Western Road and North / West of the flyover at Duntreath Avenue to better align to the geographical boundary of Drumchapel.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation raised by Drumchapel CC. • Blairdardie & Old Drumchapel CC object to representations. • 8 residents object to representations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change; status quo

COMMUNITY COUNCIL BOUNDARIES RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX 2

COMMUNITY COUNCIL/S	WARD/S	REPRESENTATION/S	CONCLUSIONS	RECOMMENDATION/S
<p>(NW7) Maryhill & Summerston and Cadder and Lambhill & District and Milton</p>	<p>15, 16</p>	<p>Expand the 'Shared Area' for all CCs abutting the 'Shared Area' south boundary i.e. Maryhill & Summerston, Cadder, Lambhill & District and Milton.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation raised by GCC Officers • Following the 2017 Ward Boundary review this original shared area has more than doubled in geographical size. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement representation

COMMUNITY COUNCIL BOUNDARIES RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX 2

COMMUNITY COUNCIL/S	WARD/S	REPRESENTATION/S	CONCLUSIONS	RECOMMENDATION/S
<p>(NE1) Barrowfield & Camlachie, Townhead & Ladywell, Gartcraig and Dennistoun</p>	<p>9, 10, 18, 22</p>	<p>Amend the boundary between Dennistoun (West; South; East) and Townhead & Ladywell (East) and Barrowfield & Camlachie (North) and Gartcraig (West) to better align the geographical boundary and realign to the Ward boundary.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation raised by GCC Officers. • Closer scrutiny of mapping indicates no overlap of boundary between Barrowfield & Camlachie and Dennistoun. • Townhead & Ladywell CC and 2 residents objections to any boundary change • Dennistoun CC objection to boundary changes with exception of Dennistoun East and Gartcraig West 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement representation to boundary between Dennistoun East and Gartcraig West • No change; status quo for boundaries to West and South of Dennistoun CC
<p>(NE2) Springburn Central and Balgrayhill and Petershill.</p>	<p>17, 21</p>	<p>Merge Springburn Central and Balgrayhill and Petershill to form Springburn Community Council</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation raised by GCC Officers. • Representation based upon ongoing dialogue with Springburn Central CC and local residents from Balgrayhill and Petershill. • Previous nominations received will be valid if representation is implemented. • Supported by Elected Members • Associate Membership of Springburn Central CC drawn from both inactive CC areas within Petershill & Balgrayhill communities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement representation
<p>(NE3) Springburn Central and Royston and Sighthill</p>	<p>17, 22</p>	<p>Amend the boundaries between Springburn Central (South) and Royston (North) and Sighthill (North) to realign to the Ward boundaries.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation raised by GCC Officers. • No objections 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement representation to boundary between Springburn Central and Royston • No change; status quo between Springburn Central and Sighthill

COMMUNITY COUNCIL BOUNDARIES RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX 2

COMMUNITY COUNCIL/S	WARD/S	REPRESENTATION/S	CONCLUSIONS	RECOMMENDATION/S
(NE4) Robroyston and Molendinar	17, 21	Amend the boundary between Robroyston (South East) and Molendinar (North East) to realign to the Ward boundary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation raised by GCC Officers. • No objections 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement representation
(NE5) Springboig and Barlanark	18	Merge Springboig and Barlanark to form one Community Council;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation raised by GCC Officers. • Interest shown from community residents from the two boundary areas but not enough to sustain a Community Council. • The increased area would mirror the current Thriving Places (TP) and it is anticipated that as more local residents become active within TP, that it may generate sufficient numbers to create an active CC in the area. • No objections 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement representation
(NE6) Easterhouse Central and Easterhouse North	21	Merge Easterhouse Central and Easterhouse North to form one Community Council.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation raised by GCC Officers. • Interest shown from community residents from Easterhouse Central but not enough to sustain a Community Council. • The increased area would mirror the current Thriving Places (TP) area and it would be anticipated that as more local residents become aware of or active within TP that it may generate sufficient numbers to create a larger active Community Council in the area. • Supported by Easterhouse North CC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement representation

COMMUNITY COUNCIL BOUNDARIES RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX 2

COMMUNITY COUNCIL/S	WARD/S	REPRESENTATION/S	CONCLUSIONS	RECOMMENDATION/S
(NE7) Garthamlock & Craigend and Gartloch	21	Merge Garthamlock & Craigend and Gartloch to form one Community Council.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation raised by GCC Officers. • Gartloch failed to re-establish following its 2018 Full Election Year call for nominations. • There may be a number of significant development proposals coming forward in the future, for the area of Gartloch; merging Gartloch with its neighbouring community council (Garthamlock & Craigend CC) can ensure the local community continue to have a collective representative voice on Planning Applications. • Supported by Ward 21 Elected Member • Supported by Garthamlock & Craigend CC and the previous Chairperson of the Gartloch CC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement representation
(NE8) Sighthill and Royston and Germiston	22	Merge Sighthill and Royston and Germiston to form one Community Council.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representation raised by GCC Officers. • No formal representations received although interest shown from community residents from the 3 areas, but not enough to sustain individual CCs. • These CCs have been inactive for a considerable period of time. • Only having 2 CC areas in a Ward would not be setting a precedent as Ward 15 has 2 CCs, and one of these is currently inactive. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement representation