REPORT OF HANDLING FOR APPLICATION 23/02558/FUL

	266 Carsaig Drive			
ADDRESS:	Glasgow			
7.551.2001	G52 1AR			
PROPOSAL:	Formation of driveway and access to front of flatted dwelling (Retrospective).			
DATE OF ADVERT:	No advert required.			
NO OF				
REPRESENTATIONS AND SUMMARY OF	No representations received.			
ISSUES RAISED				
PARTIES CONSULTED				
AND RESPONSES	No consultations requested.			
PRE-APPLICATION	No pre-application request. The application was submitted further to an enforcement			
COMMENTS	complaint (22/00393/EN).			
EIA - MAIN ISSUES	NONE			
	NONE			
CONSERVATION (NATURAL HABITATS				
ETC) REGS 1994 – MAIN	NOT APPLICABLE			
ISSUES				
DESIGN OR				
DESIGN/ACCESS	NOT APPLICABLE			
STATEMENT - MAIN ISSUES				
IMPACT/POTENTIAL				
IMPACT STATEMENTS	NOT APPLICABLE			
- MAIN ISSUES				
S75 AGREEMENT	NOT APPLICABLE			
SUMMARY				
DETAILS OF DIRECTION UNDER	NOT APPLICABLE			
REGS 30/31/32	NOT APPLICABLE			
	The National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) is the national spatial strategy for			
	Scotland up to 2045. Unlike previous national planning documents, the NPF4 is part of			
	the statutory Development Plan and Glasgow City Council as planning authority must assess all proposed development against its policies. The following policies are			
NPF4 POLICIES	considered relevant to the application:			
	Policy 1 - Tackling the Climate and Nature Crises			
	Policy 2 - Climate Mitigation and Adaptation			
	Policy 14 Design, quality and place Policy 16 Quality homes			
CITY DEVELOPMENT	CDP 1: The Placemaking Principle			
PLAN POLICIES	SG1: Placemaking, Part 2, Section 2. Residential Development - Alterations to			
OTHER MATERIAL	Dwellings and Gardens			
CONSIDERATIONS	None.			
REASON FOR	V02			
DECISION	The proposal is not considered to be in accordance with the Development Plan and			
	there were no material considerations, which outweighed the proposal's accordance with the Development Plan.			
	was the Development Flam.			

COMMENTS

PLANNING HISTORY	Development Management						
FLAMINING HISTORY	Ref	Proposal	Decision Issued	Decision			
	23/02558/FUL	Formation of driveway and access to front of flatted dwelling (Retrospective).		PCO			
	Enforcement	Enforcement					
	Ref	Proposal	Closed	Decision			
	22/00393/EN	Enforcement Enquiry		PLNREC			
SITE VISITS (DATES)	Viewed using Google Streetview/Photos in Enforcement File.						
SITING	The application site is a 4-in-a-block ground-floor flat on the south side of Carsaig Drive within an established residential area in Ward 06 - Pollokshields.						
DESIGN AND MATERIALS							
	It should also be noted that the lower flat at 264 Carsaig Drive and the adjoining property at 260 Carsaig Drive have also mono-blocked their front gardens meaning that resulting in three driveways side by side.						
DAYLIGHT	No issues.						
ASPECT	No issues.	No issues.					
PRIVACY	No issues						
ADJACENT LEVELS	No issues						
LANDSCAPING (INCLUDING GARDEN GROUND)	The installation of this driveway has resulted in the removal of a 1m high established hedge that enclosed the front area of the application site (and neighbouring properties). The monoblock replaces grass and occupies all of the original front garden.						
ACCESS AND PARKING	Parking is now provided off street						
SITE CONSTRAINTS	No issues.						
	Sections 25 and 37 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Acts require that when an application is made, it shall be determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations dictate otherwise.						
OTHER COMMENTS	The issues to be taken into account in the determination of this application are therefore considered to be:						
	 a) whether the proposal accords with the statutory Development Plan; b) whether any other material considerations (including objections) have been satisfactorily addressed. 						
	In respect of (a), the Development Plan comprises of National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) which was adopted on 13 February 2023 and the Glasgow City Development Plan which was adopted on 29 March 2017.						
	National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) February 2023						
	Policies1 and 2 are overarching policies which require that significant weight be attributed to the global climate and nature crises when considering all development proposals.						

NPF4 Policy 14 on Design, quality and place requires development proposals to improve the quality of an area. Policy 16 on Quality Homes requires that householder developments encourage, promote and facilitate well designed development that makes successful places by taking a design-led approach and applying the Place Principle.

Comment:

Whilst the development is minor, the impact of removing all shrubs and grass from the front garden area does not make our places more resilient to climate change impacts, nor does it create a nature positive place.

The development has a detrimental impact on the character or environmental quality of the surrounding area in terms of design and materials. Furthermore, the proposed development will have a detrimental effect on the amenity of neighbouring properties due to the removal of all natural landscaping from the front garden and its replacement with hard surfacing.

Glasgow City Development Plan March 2017

The proposal has been assessed against the City Development Plan policies, specifically the Placemaking Principle, Supplementary Guidance SG 1: Placemaking, Residential Development – Alterations to Dwellings & Gardens, which states:

- 2.25 Front Garden Parking (outwith Conservation Areas, in flatted development and in properties where permitted development rights have been removed) As car ownership rises, particularly in flatted areas, owners are increasingly forming parking space within their front gardens. These areas, however, have a vital role to play in the creation of pleasant residential streets, which would be severely affected if there was a concentration of hard surfaced front gardens used for car parking.
- 2.26 When such development is considered acceptable:
- a) The vehicular access should:
- be a minimum of 2.5 metres wide; and
- be at right angles to the road.

If gates are proposed, they should only open inwards.

- b) The parking area should:
- have a maximum gradient of 10%;
- have its first 2 metres hard paved across its entire width, to prevent gravel spilling on to the public footpath/road;
- be a minimum of 5 metres in length and 2.5 metres in width; and
- take up no more than 50% of the front garden area, and not fragment the garden into small unusable spaces.
- 2.27 Development is unlikely to be supported where:
- a) the proposed access is located within 25 metres of a major traffic junction:
- b) the Council's Road's Authority has recommended refusal for traffic reasons;
- c) the proposed vehicular public footpath crossing would create more than two driveways side by side;
- d) in tenement properties, front gardens have a vital role in the preservation of townscape and residential amenity; and
- e) the formation of the driveway would involve the removal of, have an adverse effect on, any mature tree in either the garden or on the public footpath.
- 2.28 In terms of other legal requirements, regardless of whether planning

permission is required or not, applicants may also require a Footway Crossing Permit from the Council's Road's Authority. When parking provision is made in the front garden area, 50% of the original front garden should be retained

Comment:

This application is not considered to be in accordance with the Development Plan as

• the parking area occupies all of the original front garden.

Prevalence of hard surfaced front gardens used for car parking is considered to lead to an erosion of visual amenity within residential streets, hence the policy above restricting such development to 50% of the original front garden area. The installation of this driveway has resulted in the removal of a 1m high hedge and the replacement of grass/shrubs with a hard surface that covers the extent of the front garden. Two neighbouring properties have made the same alteration (which is contrary to 2.27 (c) and (d) above). The works undertaken are detrimental to the amenity of the property and surrounding area and the cumulative impact is significant. No attempt has been made to mitigate the visual amenity issues by including some from of soft landscaping. The development has transformed what was a pleasant and leafy garden that had a positive impact visually and ecologically into a hard landscape that is void of character and detrimental to climate mitigation objectives.

The application seeks to regularise an unauthorised development. The proposal has been assessed against the specific guidance in SG1 on front garden parking and as a result it is recommended that the proposal be refused. The proposal is not considered to be in accordance with the Development Plan and there were no material considerations which outweighed the proposal's accordance with the Development Plan.

In relation to (b), whilst there have been no objections but this is not reason in itself to grant planning permission.

Overall the proposal results in the total loss of front garden ground contrary to the guidance in SG1. The proposal therefore fails to satisfy the development plan. There are no other material considerations which would merit an approval. It is recommended that planning permission be refused.

RECOMMENDATION

Refuse.