

ltem 4

23rd September 2025

Neighbourhoods, Regeneration and Sustainability Glasgow City Council Exchange House 231 George Street Glasgow, G1 1RX

**Executive Director** George Gillespie

www.glasgow.gov.uk

BEng (Hons) CEng MICE

Rizzo Architecture Davide Rizzo 47 Broad Street Glasgow G40 2QW

Our ref:

**DECISION** GCC Application Ref: 25/00130/FUL

29 May 2025

Dear Sir/Madam

SITE: Flat 5 Simpson Heights 48 Drygate Glasgow G4 0XU

PROPOSAL: Use of flatted dwelling (Main door) (Sui Generis) as short term let for up to 2

persons (Sui Generis)

I am obliged to inform you that a decision to refuse your application, 25/00130/FUL has now been taken.

A copy of the decision notice is attached with any appropriate notes which should be read together with the decision.

The decision notice is a legal document and should be retained for future reference.

Should you require any additional information regarding the decision, please contact the case officer Abiodun Johnson via email abiodun.johnson@glasgow.gov.uk, who will be happy to help you.

Yours faithfully

**Head of Planning** 

Encls.



# **PLANNING DECISION NOTICE**

# Full Planning Permission REFUSAL

IN RESPECT OF APPLICATION 25/00130/FUL

Use of flatted dwelling (Main door) (Sui Generis) as short term let for up to 2 persons (Sui Generis)

AT

Flat 5 Simpson Heights 48 Drygate Glasgow G4 0XU

AS SHOWN ON THE FOLLOWING SUBMITTED PLAN(S)

#### Reason(s) for decision

- 01. The proposal was not considered to be in accordance with the Development Plan and there were no material considerations which outweighed the proposal's variance with the Development Plan.
- 02. The development proposal is contrary to NPF4 Policy 23: Health and Safety, Policy 30 Tourism, Policy CDP1 and SG1 Placemaking, and CDP10 and SG10 Meeting Housing Needs of the Glasgow City Development Plan as specified below, and there is no overriding reason to depart therefrom.
- 03. The proposal is contrary to Policy 23: Health and Safety of National Planning Framework 4 in that, due to the transitory nature of the proposed development, the use of a residential flat as short-stay accommodation is likely to raise unacceptable noise issues, which would be detrimental to the existing neighbours within the flatted block, thereby creating an adverse impact on residential amenity.
- 04. The proposal is contrary to Policy 30: Tourism of National Planning Framework 4 in that the introduction of a short-term letting use at this property will result in the loss of residential accommodation, and the loss is not outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits.
- 05. The proposal is contrary to Policy CDP1 and SG1 of the Glasgow City Development Plan in that the proposal would result in non-residents accessing shared residential access corridors and shared external space as the flat does not have direct access to the street.

06. The proposal is contrary to CDP10 and SG10 of the Glasgow City Development Plan in that the proposal would result in a change of use from a residential flat to short-stay accommodation within an existing block of residential flats, resulting in a mix of mainstream residential flats and short-stay accommodation within a single building. As such, the introduction of the proposed use would adversely affect the residential character of the flatted block to the detriment of the amenity of residents occupying mainstream residential flats.

#### **Drawings**

The development has been refused in relation to the following drawing(s)

- 1. LOCATION Received 14 March 2025
- 2. PLANS Received 22 January 2025

Dated: 29th May 2025

As qualified by the above reason(s), or as otherwise agreed in writing with the Planning Authority

Head of Planning

THIS DECISION NOTICE SHOULD BE READ WITH THE ATTACHED ADVICE NOTES

#### IMPORTANT NOTES ABOUT THIS REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

### BY THIS NOTICE, YOUR PROPOSAL HAS BEEN REFUSED.

## **RIGHTS OF APPEAL**

If you are not satisfied with this refusal of planning permission, you may request a review within **three months** of the date on this notice. Please note that the right of appeal is to the Planning Local Review Committee of the Council and **not** to Scottish Ministers.

Before pursuing a review, you should <u>consider contacting your case officer</u> to discuss whether there are changes which could be made to the proposed development to make it acceptable. The case officer's contact details are on the letter accompanying this Decision Notice. Your case officer can also advise on how a fresh application could be submitted. Please note that if you do submit a fresh application within 12 months, you would be unlikely to have to pay a further planning fee.

Before contacting the case officer, you would be well advised to view the report on the application. It is available for inspection <u>online</u>. The report explains how the decision was reached and should help you decide whether to proceed with further discussion or a review. If your application was granted subject to conditions, it may be clear from the terms of the report that any conditions which you might be concerned about are necessary.

A notice of review must be served on the Planning Local Review Committee by submitting online at <a href="https://www.eplanning.scot/ePlanningClient/">https://www.eplanning.scot/ePlanningClient/</a>

The notice of review must include a statement setting out your reasons for requiring the Planning Local Review Committee to review this case. You must state by what procedure (written representations, hearing session(s), inspection of application site) or combination of procedures you wish the review to be conducted. However, please note that the Planning Local Review Committee will decide on the review procedure to be followed.

You must also include with the notice of review a copy of this decision notice, the planning application form, the plans listed on the decision notice and any other documents forming part of the proposed development as determined. If you have a representative, you must give their name and address. Please state whether any notice or other correspondence should be sent to the representative instead of to you.