



Item 3(b)

28th August 2025

### Glasgow Community Planning Partnership.

**Shettleston Area Partnership.** 

Report by Head of Policy and Corporate Governance.

Contact: Karen Kenny Telephone: 0141 287 7864

Neighbourhood Infrastructure Improvement Fund (NIIF) – Community engagement update.

# **Purpose of Report:**

To provide the Area Partnership with a list of additional ideas for funding from the NIIF that have been submitted and options for taking those forward.

### Recommendations:

The Area Partnership is asked to consider the ideas attached, in conjunction with the ideas that have already been sent to NRS for costing, already costed or approved and agree how it wants to proceed based on the options at paragraph 5.

#### Introduction.

The purpose of this paper is to bring additional ideas for spending NIIF, that
have been generated by communities, to the Area Partnership for consideration.
Those ideas that the Area Partnership wishes to go forward to the next stage will
be assessed and costed by Neighbourhoods, Regeneration and Sustainability
(NRS) and brought to a future meeting when the Area Partnership will be asked
to allocate funding.

# Next stages of NIIF.

- 2. Community representatives have been gathering ideas for costing, using a template based on the detail that NRS need. When read alongside Item 3(a) from NRS, the Area Partnership is now able to see these ideas alongside any ideas that have already been costed, or costed and approved. The ideas appended to this paper have come from:
  - local community councils (including from some who don't sit on the Area Partnership, but whose CC boundary fits into the ward);
  - other community organisations;
  - Local Place Plans:
  - Liveable Neighbourhoods;
- 3. The attached is a collated list of all the ideas received since the last meeting that have been gathered for this ward. The Area Partnership now needs to decide on the next steps, bearing in mind that the larger the number of ideas to be costed, the longer the process will take, which is why we recommend each Area Partnership asks for no more than about 12-15 ideas from across the whole ward to be sent for costing. However, the Area Partnership could keep a reserve list of ideas if any are rejected by NRS for technical or legal reasons.
- 4. The Area Partnership will also want to be sure that they have a good spread of ideas across the ward, and the list shows where they come from by community council area or neighbourhood. One option would be for the Area Partnership to agree how much is to be allocated to each Community Council area or neighbourhood, so that 'protects' a fair level of investment across the ward, but this would be for the Area Partnership to decide.
- 5. The Area Partnership also needs to consider how they make decisions about what to fund, and choose from one of the options below:
  - Wait until all the ideas have come in costed and then have a ward wide
    vote? If so, we can have the voting survey in sections, so that people vote for
    their favourite ideas in each local area. This would be good practice to
    engage the wider community in voting for the various options, however it
    would mean a delay until all the costings come in.
  - As you go? Costings will become available at different Area Partnerships over the coming months. At each Area Partnership, in theory, costed projects could be agreed on an ongoing basis without further engagement or voting, as this is how some of the Area Partnerships have been allocating so

far. However, the Area Partnership would need to be mindful of making sure that communities who have submitted ideas know that their ideas are being considered equally, if their local ideas don't get costed as quickly as others. One way to resolve this would be to agree an allocation per community council area or neighbourhood, so that investment to local areas is protected.

6. Once the Area Partnership has decided, the Communities Team will work with colleagues and partners to move this to the next stage.

### Recommendations

7. The Area Partnership is asked to consider the additional ideas attached, in conjunction with the ideas that have already been sent for costing, costed or approved and agree how it wants to proceed based on the options at paragraph 5.